G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1889
~~191~~ .
(L. R.)

Later references.

File No. 18

Serial Nos. 1—19

P-57 E 6/6

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—19

Part B for May 1893.

Remission of

SUBJECT.

Chowthayi assessment on lands below tank No. 20 constructed by one Seethanaiken of Kadapalkere, Pavaga Taluk

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

Copied
Indexed
Compared

17

Read again - Petition No 643 dated 1st July 1889 from Sitaramaiya of Kodaval - Kere in the Pow-gada Taluk and Docket No 423 dated 20th December 1889 from the Deputy Commissioner Tumkur Disbrict, reporting on the above -

Order thereon :-

The petitioner protests against the D. P. W. undertaking the repair and restoration to a standard of safety and security, Tank Registered No 20 of Powgada Taluk on the ground that the Tank is his own, he having constructed it in 1863 ~~by him~~ ~~at~~ outlay of Rs. 3000.

Without any stipulations with himself

Who up to date has answered it

Petn

for or received any con-
-cession by way of
favorable assessment
of the lands irrigated
by it- under the rules
he build it- himself.
It is admitted that the
Tank, with an about
as per margin is in
a series, is badly in
need of repair and that
in its present condition.
Inundayara the Govt
Tank lower down the
valley - The D. P. W. Es-
-timate for repairing the
Tank amounts to Rs. 335/-

2. Though the Tank
is stated to have been
constructed 30 years
ago it is a large outlay,
the Petitioner does not
appear to have asked
for

	Area A. G.	Assessment Rs. A. P.
Dry	46-18	11-4-0
Wet	14-32	51-0-0
Garden	24-33	104-0-0
Total	99-29	166-4-0

owned by 6 individuals

hand. The petition is therefore dismissed.

Chief Secy.

For Dewan's approval

7-8-91

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

18

7. Sitāram.

Owner of Kadapalkere, Pavagada Taluk. Appealed against order of resumption - Wants orders to be passed soon.

— a —

Dewan's order -

This man bitterly complains of 5 years' delay in disposal of the case. Please let me have it at once if my order is wanted upon it, or let Mr. Chentsal Row dispose of it early if he can kindly do so.

(Intd) K. S.

2-7-92.

Note file "V" under Mr. Chentsal Row's signature

(21)

CHIEF SECY.'S DEPT.

Submitted to the ~~Division.~~
Committee (Rev)

For Orders —

Kadakalgeay Vikara-
-maiya's Complaint
regd before the
Dewan on 2d July 92
vide Dewan's note.

1 The Complaint
is chronologically
long standing but
it does not appear
that there is any
great perseverance on
their part -

2 The Complaint
is that the Tank
registered as no 20 in
Paragada Taluk is
the private Tank
Constructed under the
proclamation of 1863
& that therefore he
must be allowed
a remission of 1/4th

Accountant at
that cost, the Tank
has been reported
by Govt. —

There no doubt
that the Tank is a private
one & some concession
is due,

MC

11/7/92

Wm
vide draft letter

Sd/-
11/7

At a cost of Rs. 843. Please
see Chf Engrs letter No 145 & 18th
July 92 & its enclosure in
which the Dy Comr. says that
the owner of the tank has lost all
heir on it -
Mysore
27-7-92

WB Crowdell (Rev)

Sd/-
28/7

of the assessment of the lands irrigated by it & that the D.M.W. should not undertake & repair it (as they have been doing) without his permission & without entering into a clear understanding of the terms under which they may do repair it -

3. He seems to have presented his claim in 1887 & again in 1888 - Both petns were forwarded to the Chief Engr & to the Secy to Govt for disposal - Questions of fair rate assessments on lands irrigated by works of private enterprise are in the first instance dealt with by the Irrn

and Survey Depts - Govt has not obtained a decision from Govt - On the contrary at the survey settlement of the Taluk the lands have been settled at the ordinary settlement rates -

4. The Tank breached in 1879-80 - and thereby breached the Govt Tank No 21 below it - The Govt Tank was restored in 1885-86; but Sitaramayya had by that time merely filled up the breaches in the private Tank (No 20) above it, & had built no revetment, and his Tank was otherwise unsafe. The Exec Engr accordingly prepared an

Estimate for the 335 for
work as noted in the
Margin & sent it in
June 1885 & had daily
Comm^n & asked that
Sitaramya might be
appointed to carry out
the work in one year
failing which the
Cost should be recovered
from him & enable
the D.P.W. to do the needful.

5. Sitaramya was
asked - he said that
the work provided for
in the Estimate was
inadequate that the
tank which stored in-
sufficient water that
he contemplated and that
he would not carry
out work which was
altogether beyond his means;
that as no concession
had been granted
in the assessment of the
lands benefitted by the
tank constructed by

The right weir should be
hardened to 130
feet & the bunds
& waste level
The bund to be
raised to 6 feet
above that level
throughout with 6
feet width -
The revetment to
be raised throughout
to 2 feet above
weir level - (No
front revetment
is built at present
& the beaches, & the
same is now provided
for)
The tunnel & sluice
under front sluice &
bund & the head
should be rebuilt -
The bund should be revetted
with stones at both ends
as shown on Longl section -

their at his private
expense, he had
no objection to make
it over to Govt if D.P.W.
Dr. considers it capable
of put into first
class order - (vide his
Depos^n dated 11 April
1886) -

5. Thereupon the
D.J. enquired on what
authority the tank had
been constructed &
on what conditions -
The Amildar reported
in reply (having his
report on Sitaramayya's
request dated 26 May
1886) that the tank
had been originally
constructed under
the proclamation
of 1863 & that the
Amildar of the day
had granted permission
to construct the tank
on Govt waste land &
that there was no
reference to this in the
Registers of those years -

-nya Assistant Engr
& asked for Govt's per-
-mission to Construct
the Tank on Govt waste
land & cultivate
lands thereby - Govt
has no objections with
Government.

On these facts being
Communicated to
the DPW the Chief
Engineer inquired
whether if the Govt
granted the Tank
(which he saw no
objection as full assess-
was being levied) it be-
become a Govt Tank
& Narasinnya have
no further claim with
the Deptt (Mr P.V.
Krishna Murti) replied
in the affirmative, &
it is presumed that
the work has been
carried out -

Deptt repeated his
Complaint in August
1864 on a reference
to the petition of the Deptt

Govt Correspondence
ordered in the
Course of which the
Original Junkhast
& other papers connected
with Narasinnyas
Construction of the
Tank in question are
stated to have been
discovered in the
Tahsildar records - It
appears from the
Amildars report that
in 1863-64 Nara-
-sinnya had carried
out ₹167 worth of work
that there remained
₹2430 worth of work
to be carried out &
that certain wet
lands were registered
in his name at the lowest
wet rate then prevailing
in the village - The original
record has not been
sent up & would
require some scrutiny
in view of the fact
that it was previously
reported that there was

the Deputies -

From a statement prepared in June 1886 the following appear in the Statistics Hand Book under the Tank :-

	area ac - R	assessed R - a
Dry	48 - 18	11 - 4
Wet	14 - 32	57 -
Garden	24 - 33	104 - .
Total	99 - 29	166 - 4

This area is owned by 64 individuals of whom Sitaramya is one with the following areas registered in his name :-

	a - R	R - a
Dry	29 - 37	7
Wet	6 - 22	17
Garden	4 - 34	24 - 12
	41 - 13	48 - 12

Opinion

1) It will appear from the foregoing Report that the Claim of Chowtharaja did not arise during 25 years

of the favorable assessment he wanted Sitaramya will have to spend the outlay which Govt. have incurred in restoring the Tank to safety & security.

also the Survey settlement was introduced - It was not mentioned till the Requisition to restore it to a standard of safety & security ; & then it was mentioned only as a Famine Govt. undertaking a much cheaper work than what it called upon Sitaramya to carry out if he desired that the Tank should remain a private Tank - If it is open to Sitaramya to drop the claim now, it is one on which the Survey Dept. should first record his decision & thus dispose of it one way or the other the case may be brought up for the consideration of Govt. -

Whether the Tank can be embanked & improved without danger to the Tank or Tanks below it is matter for the D.P.W.

on this point has been
worked by Chief Engr
& Exec: Engr: -

11. By the Dpty taking
over the Tank under
its management &
dealing with it as if it
were a Govmt: Tank
a service & no ~~[illegible]~~ inquiry
has been done & Rs: -

Sitto
9/July/92

order

As the Tank was
reported by Govt on the
statement of the owner that he
was not willing to repair it.
& that he had no objection to Govt
putting it into order, he has no
right to claim any Chettad remission
on the lands irrigated under it. — Moreover,
~~the~~ the Tank was originally constructed without
any conditions, & no remission was ever granted
to the owner — As however, it is a fact that
the Petitioner Sitaramia originally constructed
the Tank at his own cost, the Govt will not
object to the remission of 1/4th asst if the
Govt the amount of Rs 84.3 specified

Rec'd 8/8/92
Dispatched
8/8/92

Rec'd 8/8/92 from Collector Chittoor
Requests remission of Rs 843 ½ on account of Chettadi Amount in the Taluk of Kalahasti for Fasli 1891 will be allowed.
8/8/92

Vide Memo: Serial No 21.

× Serial No 23.

12 The foregoing orders were Communicated in Proceedings no × 2176/1113 dated 10th August 1892 to the Deputy Commissioner of Chittoor, who was requested to give the necessary intimation to the Petitioner & Seetharamaiya -

8

13. In his petitions dated 8th & 20th October 1892, the Petitioner submits that he did not give his Consent to the repairs of the above Tank by the Govt that it was constructed under the Commissioners P.W.D; & Cited that Therefore, he is not

Note. Vide Para 5 above.
He did give his consent.
MR

Estimated Cost thereof
Viz Rs 843, as no im-
-provement was made
to the Tank by the
P.W.D. Except lowering
the Kodi, which was
not indispensably
required. He requests
— That in Consideration
of the full Kudivaram
paid by him from
which has to be refunded to him, the interest may be foregone &
1872, the Estimated
Cost of repairs now
demanded may be
remitted from
the Chowtheyji remission
from the date of Settlement
due to him & or that the
dry rate of assessment
Sanctioned by the

Note.
The Chowtheyji remission has been ordered as a matter of grace and cannot have retrospective effect.
Mark
19/11.

Cattell
2nd
All
28/1/12

maybe enforced and
his right to the Tank
left undisturbed; or
finally that the Tank itself
may be taken over by
Government on pay-
-ment to him of the Cost
over plus ally incurred
by him. Viz Rs 3000.
with interest.
Submitted for orders.

A.D.
18/11/12

Mark
19/11/12

The Petitioner
that he did not
get his consent
if the Tank by
which is incurred
and his request
to give substitute
effect to Chowtheyji
remission granted as
a matter of grace
is unadvisable. There
are no good reasons to

The above order was communicated to Petr. Seetaramappa by endorsement dated 28th December 1892.

Upon receipt of a petition from the ryots of the village praying that the tank may not be given over to Seetaramappa on the ground that he will cause trouble to them & injure the Govt tank lower down, the Dy Commr was asked to report if Seetaramappa had paid the sum of Rupees 843 as directed in the order passed on 10th August 1892

The Dy Commr in his letter dated 24th March 1893 states that Seetaramappa has not paid the amount.

Seetaramappa in his Petition dated 29 March 1893, No 2535, represents that he is altogether incapable of paying the amount. He urges that he is in very reduced circumstances having laid out all his wealth in the tank & paid full Kandayam from the date of settlement. He repeats the allegations made in his Petns of 28th Decr and prays:—

(1) that the Chentagi remission may be granted from the date of Sanz settlement
(2) that the amt which would thereby become due to him be credited towards the cost of repairs & interest now claimed
(3) that the balance due be recovered by appropriation of future Chentagi instalments as they fall due
(4) that such other concession may be shown to him as will enable him to retain the tank.

The first prayer has been disposed of in the last order

Further orders solicited as to how the tank shd be dealt with in view of Petr's representations.

Subn to the Chief Secretary. BR 3/5/93.

I am not disposed to reconsider the order already issued. Send endorse according & return the papers to Mr J. Chellappa

ES 10/5/93

Rd 5/5 Commdr (Mdt)

BR 20/5

Rd 5/5 Commdr (Rev) for further & final orders for Chentagi remn opposed & the Tank claped as khani

BR 20/5

BR 22-8-89.

(General Serial No. 30.)

188

Section [illegible] From

File No 1 8 9 1 8 X 1

Serial No 1

To

4

14

Dy: Commr

Shimoga

Dated 22 Aug 89

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. Petn & 2 Sncs:

General No. ~~6446~~

No. 241

Has the honor to forward the accompanying petition No 6439 of 15th July/89 from Homboy Sitaramayya of Kudvalkere, Pavugada Taluk, for submission of the proceedings and report upon the following points, viz whether the tank referred to by the Petitioner was built at his own expense, and if so, when and under authority and why the Govt undertook to repair it.

By order

Registered not found

ral Serial No. 29.)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
7448
26.DEC.89
433

MYSORE 188

Deputy C
Sirsi district.

Section R2.
File No 18-89
No 29

15

The Chief Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Government of Mysore.

Withdrawn by Petitioner 29/12/89 Petition Dept 11-89

Subd to Chief Secy 26.12.89 6/1/90 RR

Dated Sirsi 20 December
Despatched 21st 1889.
Received

Enclosures No. Recd

General No.
No. 423

His Docket No 241 of 22nd August 1889 (received with Memo No 1049 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District) forwarding Petition from Sitaramaya of Kadavalkeri, Powgad Taluk

---

As called for in the above, has the honor to submit herewith the vernacular records together with the English correspondence as per margin (to be obligingly returned) connected with the complaint of the Petitioner, and to state that petitioners Tank No 20 was built at his own

1. From Executive Engineer Sirsi Division No 555 dt 18/12/89.
2. Do Do. Do 262 " 19/12/89.
3. From Do. Do 188 " 21/12/89.
4. Do Do. Do 1685 " 23/12/89.
5. From Do. Do 142 " 11/12/89.
6. Do Do. Do 520 " 13/12/89.
7. From Do. Do 193 " 28/12/89.
8. Do Do. Do 564 " 5/1/90.
9. From Superintending Engineer of Mysore 257 " 14/1/90.
10. Do Do. Do 224 " 15/1/90.
11. From Do. Do 3396 " 8-8-89.
12. Do Do. Do 385 " 12-11-89.
13. From Do. Do 5794 " 17-11-89.
14. Do Do. Do 408 " 2-12-89.
15. From Do. Do 5702 " 19-12-89.

R 8-1-90
A 24-10-89

Petitioner having expended a large amount on the Tank was granted during the Jamabundy of that year 9 and odd Candies of land on a nominal assessment of Rupees 3-9-1.

2. The Tank breached in 1879-80, but the petitioner repaired the breach. In 1885 the Executive Engineer considered that the filling was not sufficiently strong, and that when the tank was full, it would endanger the safety of the Government tank below by the breach giving way again. He accordingly framed an Estimate for Rupees 319 for its repair to be carried out by Petitioner. But when the Petitioner was asked to carry out the work, he refused to do so on the score of his inability. Hence its repair by Government is still under execution.

3. The petition with enclosures is returned.

Deputy Commissioner

4 Enclos No (6)

D. P. W. Correspondence forming enclosures to the Tumkur Dy Commrs No 4238 20.12.89:

Subject - Protest by one Seetharamaiah of Kadapalkeri Pavagada Taluk against the restoration of his tank Reg^d No 20 by the D.P.W.

Returned with this office No. 4129 R.J 113-92

Dy. 12-9-92.

From

The Superintg Engr

of Mysore

To

The Executive Engineer

Shimoga Division

Dated 2/4 June 1885

No 2721

Executive Engineer's No.

465/132 dated 19th May 1885

reporting the condition

of Seethaaramapa's tank

above Kadadanal Keri

Rep[d] No 20 Powgud taluk

× × ×

Is forms that in estimat

estimate may be prepared and submitted
the Deputy Commissioner to get the work pe
to secure the above private bank safe wit
certain time.

(sd) H/Michelaughlin
Assistant Superintg.
of Mysore

True extract

[illegible]
Executive Engineer
Shimoga Division



RECEIVED
22 AUG. 95
DEWAN OF MYSORE

14

15
18-12-89
7

Sir,

Translation of Document No. 423 of 20-12-89 (with its file & a draft) was subd for Dewan Bahadur's orders on 2-3-90.

Please obtain the file from Swaffer & I shall try to take the Dewan's orders personally

20/6

Sir,

Submitted

24/6/92.

(General Serial No. 32.)

189 .

No. 853 (5)

Name of Petitioner. Sithanamapa

Village. Kadahalkere

Taluk. Devagada

District. Tumkur

Date of Arzi. 19 June

Date of Receipt. 19 June 92

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

ABSTRACT.

Petr complains that his petition presented 5 yrs ago has not been disposed of Tumkur Deputy No. 423 of 20/12/89

Refer to Dy Collr Early

17/6

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. NO. 8555
DATE 16-6-92

5

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
RECEIVED
DATE 27-6-92
9478

No. 477
Pet F 39—9293

18-89

(19)

4

Copy forwarded to

To The Chief Engineer in Mysore

Sir,

I am directed to refer you to correspondence connected with the repair of Kadabalgere Tank (Reg. No 20) Pavagada Taluk Tumkur District and to inquire at what cost the Tank has been repaired by Government - Your letter No 5796 Dated 17th March 89 & the address of the Deputy Commissioner in one of the Communications which have passed on the Subject - Copy of the Deputy's ~~Letter~~ No 423 of 20 Dec 89 to this office is herewith sent for further needful reference -

The Tank is claimed to be one constructed at private expense and Sthanamya claims a concession on this account -

I have &c

11/July 92

Camp No. 145

18-89

No. 11

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT

CENTRAL OFFICE,

Camp Mysore Bangalore, 18th July 1892.

From

The Chief Engineer of Mysore,

20

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan

SIR.

In reply to your letter No. 477 dated 13th instant, I have the honor to inform you that a sum of Rupees 843 was expended by Government in repairing the Kadabakere (Sitharamappa Kere) Reg. No. 20 of Pavagada Taluk, and work was completed in January

2. I enclose copy of docket No. 408 dated 2d december/87, from the Deputy Commissioner District, from which it will be seen that the original owner has no lien on the Tank.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

M D V Gubbins

Asstt. Chief Engineer of Mysore.

189 2. 93

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Cauvp
No. 145

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER MYSORE
20 JUL 92
R 179

From

The Chief Engineer of Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan

Cauvp Bangalore
Dated Bangalore 18th July 1892.

Despatched

Enclosure No.

Subject.

In forming and a embankment No 243 was extended by Government in repairing the Kadabalkere (Seetharamappa Kimmpa) Regd No 20 of Pawagad Taluk.

Rev Mysore 20/7/92

The draft submitted on the 2nd March/91 on docket No 423 of 20th December/90 from the Deputy Commr of the Tumkur District is pending the approval of the Dewan Bahadur

Submitted.

27/7

(General Serial No, 28.)

189 .

From The Deputy Commissioner Tumkur Dist

To The Superintending Engineer in Mysore

Dated Tumkur 2d December 1887
Despatched
Received

General No, 1887-88
P.W. No, 408

Enclosures—Number

Superintending Engineer's letter No 5194 of 17th ultimo regarding Seetharamappa's Kabbe Regd No 20 Pawagada Taluk

11

Has the honor to State in reply that
since the Entire repair of the Katta has
been undertaken by the Government
the Tank will become a Government
one and the owner will have no further
lien on it. This fact has been duly
Communicated to Seshavamappa
through the amildar -

(signed)

Deputy Commissr

"Copy"

W H D Hutchins

Assistant Chief Secy
to Govt -

18/7/92

For approval
Sd/ 9/8

LR
18-89
12

Read :- Petition from Sitharamayya
of Kadavalkere in the Davangere
Taluk, claiming remission of
Chowthayi assessment on lands
below Tank No 20 constructed by
him -

Letter No. 4233. 20th Dec/89
Read from the Dy Comr. of the Tumkur Dt,
and subsequent correspondence ending
with letter No 145 dated
18th July 1892 from the
Chief Engineer in Mysore,
in respect to the above
claim :

Petition of Sitharamayya
of Kadavalkere in the
Davangere Taluk on the
subject of the restoration
of the tank Registered
No 20 in the Davangere
Taluk and the remission
of assessment claimed
by him.

No 2176-7 Dt. 10-8-92
R. 2113-92 13

Order thereon.

As the tank referred
to was repaired by
Government on the
Statement of the Petitioner

(who is the owner) that he was not willing to repair it and that he had no objection to the Government putting it into order, he has no right to claim any Chowtaje remission on the lands irrigated under it. Moreover, the tank was originally constructed without any conditions and no remission was ever granted to the owner. As however it is a fact that the Petitioner Sitaramaiya originally constructed the tank at his own cost, the Government will not object to the remission of 1/4 th assessment if he pays to Government, the sum of Rs 843/. Speedy

by the Public Works Department on the tank, with interest at 6 per cent. per annum from January 1891. The Chowtaje remission will be granted from the date of the payment of the above sum of Rs 843/. and will not be allowed to have retrospective effect.

10/8/91
Chief Secty.

B.B.

To the Commissioner Trincomalee District with the Chief Engineer's Compliments.

a reply that he will time the necessary intimation with a copy of this order to the Petitioner - Sitaramyya.

Note
After issue of this order please send the file to the Petition Branch for issue of Endorsement to the Petitioner. C.R.C.

B.B.

10/8/91

Petn Branch Jpn 3/10/92

29

Ref Instrs

Transferred to Mr Rev Branch Lr

RO 18-89

Yr 13

S/10/92

Submitted with Memo in continuation.

D.D.

18/10/92

Draft forwarded

(General Serial No. 32.)

189 2.

28

No. 908

Name of Petitioner. K. Sitaramappa

Village. Kadapalkere

Taluk. Pavagada

District. Tumkur

Date of Arzi. 8-10-92

Date of Receipt. 10-10-92

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Six

ABSTRACT.

In reference D.G.O. 21.7.6.7 R.T. 113 dated 10-8-92; prays for exemption from the payment of interest on Rs 874 3 Claims that the Chowkara rules may take effect from the date of Settlement

S/10/92

21/10/92

Mysore Govt. Central Press Bangalore—7-9-92,—1000.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
RECD. IN 908 R
DATE 12-10-92

MYSORE
RECD. NO. 27
DATE 10-10-92

(General Serial No. 32.)

1892.

29

No. 1051

Name of Petitioner. Seetaramappa

Village. Kadapalakere

Taluk. Pavagada

District. Tumkur.

Date of Arzi. 20—10—92

Date of Receipt. 24—10—92

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil.

Submitted with Memo: in continuation.

18/11/92

Rep. put up & transferred to the Rev. Branch

18-11

5/11/92

18/11/92 Read the proceedings — Let it be ordered that the orders contained in Govt. Nos. 2176-7 & 10 dated 13th October 1892 and R.T. 113-90 dated 5th March 1891 (Paper (23) in the file) are final and that Govt. see no reasons to revise the same — [Put up a statement of the case with file & free note and the Commissioner's Remarks thereon]

18/11

ABSTRACT.

Orders dated 13th October, 1892, No. 2176-7 promising Remission of Chowkaya Peshkash from the date of payment with interest of Rs. 84 3 spent by Govt. on the tank built by the Peshkash: his petn. dated 10th Oct. 1892 on the subject is still pending disposal:

(2) The tank was built under Sircar sanction; the dry assessment was enjoyed under the same orders: hence the recovery of the Bagayet Cowlagem Loss on the patta lands including Newaade, from the date of Survey settlement and the demand for the cost of works of the said tank is enjoined: Prayer Mah (3) The dry rates alone sanctioned by the Govt. may be continued & the

Mysore Govt. Central Press Bangalore—7-9-92,—1000.

from him for the last 20 years may be recovered refunded & the amount claimed for the repairs of the tank stopped therefrom: If these Concessions cannot be granted the tank itself maybe taken up for Rs 3000/- for Govt and Rs 3000/- spent by him paid with interest.

27/10/92

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. NO. 105702
DATE 26-10-92

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
CAMP Mysore
REG'D. NO. 50
DATE 29-10-92

This case was finally disposed of & I think by Order given by Hon'ble Minister. Some report was required. Has it been received?

Put up before the Hon'ble Minister for orders.

27/10/92

Ref. 2176-7

References subs.

No further report is due from the Dy Commr on the final order issued in this case - Please

See Pro: No 2176-7 (S No 2)
R.F. 113-92
dt 10th August/92.

1/11/92

30

Office of the Dewan of Mysore
The 28th Dec: 1892.

Petitions dated 9.8.1057 the 8th and 20th October 1892 from ~~Kadaladpur~~ Seetaramaiya of Kadavalkere in the Chitradurga Taluk, praying for a revision of the orders passed in Proceedings No 2767/113 dated the 10th August 1892.

No DH 90

Endorsement.

The Petitioner's Statement that he did not give his consent to the tank being repaired is incorrect, and his request, to give retrospective effect to the Shrotriyi Remission granted as a matter of grace, is inadmissible. The Government see no good reason to reconsider the orders already passed

By order

Chief Secretary

1/1913

(General Serial No. 32.)

1892.

No. Camp 178 / 1328

(32)

Name of Petitioner. Punlemane Narasayya and others

Village. Kadapalagere

Taluk. Pavagada

District. Tumkur

Date of Arzi. 5/11/92

Date of Receipt. 26/11/92

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

ABSTRACT.

Petitioners state that they have heard that the Govt have issued an order to the effect that the tank situated in S.No. 26 in the village should be given over to one Sitaramayya of the same village; & that the said Sitaramayya will not only trouble them and prevent them from cultivating the lands below the tank but will also injure the Govt tank further down.

Mysore Govt. Central Press Bangalore—7-9-92.—1000.

37

11/2/93

Rev. Branch

30/12/92

Recd 10/1

Reference pat up

6/8/1893

The case has been disposed of — Please see Rev. no 2176-7/113 dt 10th August 92.

No orders appear to be called for. Submitted to the Chief Secretary

27/8/93

Nuthing appears on record with regard to the terms recorded in the petition

41

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District.

Government Proceedings
No. 2176-7
R. 7113-92 dated 10-8-92

Passed on the petition from Seetha Ramia of Kadapalkere in the Pavagada Taluk claiming remission of chowthaj assessment on lands below tank No. 20 constructed by him.

With reference to the above has the honor to enquire whether Seetha Ramia has paid the sum of Rs 843 spent by government on the tank, ~~built by him~~ and to forward hereworth for report petition Camp Rejisterd No. $\frac{178}{1328}$ dated 5-11-92 from Mule'mane' Narasia and others of Kadapalkere requesting that the tank may not be granted to Seetha Ramia.

2 The petition should be ~~kindly~~ returned.

By Order

(Draft)
Ch-secretary

for Chief Secretary

(General Serial No. 30.)

1893.

(33)

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

The Deputy Commr
Nunkur Dist

Dated 9

Despatched 11 Feby 1893

Received

Enclosures No. one

General No. 13404
Pet No 39-92

Enquiring whether Seetha Ramia of Kadalkere Pavagada Talk has paid Rs 843 being the amount spent by Govt on his tank and requesting the Dy Commr to submit a report on the petition of Mulé Mané Narasia & others

(C No. 178/1328 of 5.11.92)

Transferred to the Petition Branch.

29/3 = 31/3

Please see office note, paper No 21 in the file.

18-3-93

18

(General Serial No. 30.)

10937.

(34)

1892. 29 MAR 93 R 2713

From The Dy: Commissioner Nunkur District

To

The Chief Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Govt: of Mysore.

Dated 24th March 1893.

Despatched 27th

Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No.
No. 782.

Chief Secretary's Docket No: 13404 of the 9th Feby: 93.
39 " "

States that Seetaramiah of Kadapalkéré in the Pavagada Talúk has not paid the cost incurred in repairing tank No: 20 as directed in Govt: order No: 2176-7 of the 10th August 1892, & R. 7 113-92 that Mulémané Narasiah & others object to the grant of the tank to Seetaramiah on the ground that he may prevent extension of wet cultivation —. Returns the Petn —.

Rev. 29/3

M. G. C. P. Bangalore.—27-1-93.—5,000.

No: 782 Encl: 1.

44 (34)

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Jaffna District
Dated Jaffna 24th March 1893.

To
The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Ceylon.

Sir,

With reference to your Docket No: 13404/39 of the 9th ultimo enquiring whether Sectaraminah of Kadapal Kere in the Pavagada Taluk has paid the Cost of (643 Rupees) incurred in repairing tank No 20 as directed in Government Order No 2176-7 Dt. 113-92 of the 10th August 1892, I have the honor to state that he has not done so.

2. With regard to the petition of Mulémané Narasiah and others requesting that the tank may not be given to the said Sectaraminah even if he pays the said cost, I beg to state that the petitioners object to the grant on the ground that Sectaraminah may prevent Extension of rock cultivation under it. There are 40 acres and 13 guntas of dry land under the tank assessed at 8 1/2 Rs.

which they say they will convert into wet. This will bring in an increase of 132 Rupees. Beside this they agree to take up the whole of the unoccupied garden and wet lands under the tank measuring 24 acres and 33 guntas and assessed at 78 1/2 Rupees.

3. The petition under report is herewith returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

W. Venkatarayar
Deputy Commissioner

Read

(35)

1893 — Appn No 2535 & 29th March 1893

from Kadabalpre Nanamya

Requesting that the Chowtagi Umeria in respect of tank No 20 of Kadabalpre ~~ordered in Proceedings No 2176-7 dated 10th August 1892~~ R.C. 113-92 may be granted from the date of the Survey Settlement ~~instead of from the date of payment of the amount spent by Govt to repair the tank No 9 of Kadabalpre~~

No 19954 dated 25.5.93
Pet F. 39-92

Order

Petr will be informed that Govt are not disposed to reconsider the order already passed — vide this office No 2176-7 / R.C. 113-92 dated 10 Augt 1892

25/5

J.

The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District